

(19)



Europäisches Patentamt
European Patent Office
Office européen des brevets



(11)

EP 0 765 468 B1

(12)

EUROPEAN PATENT SPECIFICATION

(45) Date of publication and mention
of the grant of the patent:
23.10.2002 Bulletin 2002/43

(21) Application number: **95924219.9**

(22) Date of filing: **13.06.1995**

(51) Int Cl.⁷: **G01M 11/02**

(86) International application number:
PCT/EP95/02283

(87) International publication number:
WO 95/034800 (21.12.1995 Gazette 1995/54)

(54) APPARATUS FOR MAPPING OPTICAL ELEMENTS

APPARAT ZUR TOPOMETRISCHEN ERFASSUNG EINES OPTISCHEN ELEMENTES

APPAREIL DE MAPPAGE D'ELEMENTS OPTIQUES

(84) Designated Contracting States:
CH DE ES FR GB IT LI NL

(30) Priority: **14.06.1994 IL 11001694**
28.03.1995 IL 11317295

(43) Date of publication of application:
02.04.1997 Bulletin 1997/14

(60) Divisional application:
02010513.6 / 1 248 093

(73) Proprietors:
• **VISIONIX LTD.**
91160 Jerusalem (IL)
• **ABITBOL, Marc**
93145 Jerusalem (IL)

(72) Inventors:
• **ABITBOL, Marc**
93145 Jerusalem (IL)

• **BLUM, Ariel**
90435 Efrat (IL)
• **HALIMI, Alain**
93721 Jerusalem (IL)
• **MEIMOUN, Eli**
93721 Jerusalem (IL)

(74) Representative: **Hillier, Peter**
Edward Evans Barker
Clifford's Inn
Fetter Lane
London EC4A 1BZ (GB)

(56) References cited:
DE-A- 3 318 293

• **OPTICAL ENGINEERING**, vol.31, no.7, July 1992,
BELLINGHAM, WA, US pages 1551 - 1555,
XP289274 D. MALACARA ET AL. 'Testing and
centering of lenses by means of a hartmann test
with four holes.'

Note: Within nine months from the publication of the mention of the grant of the European patent, any person may give notice to the European Patent Office of opposition to the European patent granted. Notice of opposition shall be filed in a written reasoned statement. It shall not be deemed to have been filed until the opposition fee has been paid. (Art. 99(1) European Patent Convention).

EP 0 765 468 B1

Description**FIELD OF THE INVENTION**

5 [0001] The present invention relates to apparatus for mapping optical elements generally.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

10 [0002] Apparatus for measuring and mapping optical elements is described in the following United States patents: 4,725,138; 5,083,015; 3,832,066; 4,007,990; 4,824,243; and 5,287,165.

[0003] Apparatus for measuring and mapping optical elements is also described in the following patent documents: German Democratic Republic 247,617 and 213,057; Soviet Union 1,420,428 and 1,312,511; Germany 4,222,395; and in applicant's copending Israel application 110016.

[0004] A method and equipment for mapping radiation deflection by phase objects is described in Israel Patent 61405.

15 [0005] A method for measuring ophthalmic progressive lenses is described in C. Castellini, F. Francini, and B. Tiribilli, "Hartmann test modification for measuring ophthalmic progressive lenses", Applied Optics, 1 July 1994, vol. 33, no. 19, pp. 4120-4124.

[0006] Mathematical methods useful for mapping lenses are described in Yogeh Jalurig, Computer Methods for Engineering, Ally and Bacon, Inc., page 272.

20 [0007] DE-A-3318293 shows an apparatus for mapping an optical element, the apparatus comprising a light source, a beam separator, an optical sensing device operative to generate a light spot map and a computation device operative to derive characteristics of the optical element including apparatus of identifying the particular beam separating element corresponding to each individual spot based at least partly on information other than the location of the spot.

25 [0008] OPTICAL ENGINEERING, vol.31, no.7, July 1992, BELLINGHAM, WA, US, pages 1551 - 1555, D. MALACARA ET AL. 'Testing and centering of lenses by means of a Hartmann test with four holes' teaches hartmann testing using, inter alia, analog light spots, photographic film, a camera or a position sensor. Moreover, from this article it is known to establish astigmatism, axis, tilt and a curvature radius.

30 [0009] From the document Optical Engineering (1990), Vol.29, No.10, p.1239-1242; Rodier, F., "Variations on a Hartmann theme" or the document SPIE (1992), Vol.1752, p.112-119; Cao et al: "Study on a the Hartmann-Shack Wavefront Sensor" it is known to use a deflector comprising an array of microlenses.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

[0010] The present invention seeks to provide improved apparatus for mapping optical elements.

35 [0011] The present invention relates to a system for the non-contact testing of the optical parameters of optical elements, in particular ophthalmic elements, both transmissive and reflective, across the entire surface thereof, as well as to the testing of molds, mirrors, and the like.

[0012] The invention also relates to a method for the non-contact testing of the optical parameters of optical elements, in particular ophthalmic elements.

40 [0013] For the sake of simplicity, the term "optical elements" as used herein is intended to embrace not only elements such as lenses, but also other elements such as molds used in the production of such lenses, as well as other elements such as mirrors. Such elements include spherical and aspherical, bifocal, multi-focal and progressive lenses, as well as molds for producing some of these lenses and, furthermore, hard and soft contact lenses.

45 [0014] While considerable progress has been made in the manufacturing of sophisticated ophthalmic lenses, most of the quality control equipment has been lagging behind and no longer satisfies the needs of the industry and the market.

50 [0015] Most of the instruments used today provide information concerning power that is based in a very small area of the component to be tested (3-4mm in diameter). Furthermore, they do not provide objective results, requiring, as they do, operator decision. Also, because of the above-mentioned, very restricted measurement area; they cannot deal with progressive lenses, i.e., lenses with continuously changing power.

[0016] The instruments used today to analyze surface geometry are mechanical devices which are liable to damage highly polished surfaces (e.g., finished lenses or molds). Testing with these instruments are very time-consuming.

[0017] It is thus one of the objectives of the present invention to provide a system that, within a few seconds, provides non-contact, objective measurement of the optical parameters of the entire surface of any optical component.

55 [0018] It is another objective of the invention to permit measurements either by transmission or by reflections.

[0019] It is a still further objective of the invention to facilitate automation of the entire measurement process.

[0020] According to the invention, the above objectives are achieved by providing a an apparatus according to the preamble of claim 1 and a method according to the preamble of claim 12, wherein the beam separator includes an

LCD (liquid crystal device).

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

5 [0021] The present invention will be understood and appreciated from the following detailed description, taken in conjunction with the drawings in which:

Fig. 1 represents the array of focal points as produced by a plane wave front passing through an array of micro-lenses;
 10 Fig. 2 represents the image produced by the array of microlenses of Fig. 1;
 Fig. 3 shows the effect of introducing a positive lens between the light source and the array of microlenses;
 Fig. 4 is the image produced by the setup of Fig. 3;
 Fig. 5 shows the effect of introducing a negative lens into the setup;
 Fig. 6 is the image produced by the setup of Fig. 5;
 15 Fig. 7 represents a cylindrical lens;
 Fig. 8 is the image pattern of the lens of Fig. 7;
 Fig. 9 shows a bifocal lens;
 Fig. 10 represents the image pattern of the lens of Fig. 9;
 Fig. 11 represents a progressive lens;
 20 Fig. 12 represents the image pattern of the lens Fig. 11;
 Fig. 13 illustrates a setup of the system in which an optical component is tested in transmission;
 Fig. 14 represents a setup in which the front surface of the element is tested in reflection;
 Fig. 15 illustrates the testing of the front surfaces of a progressive lens in transmission;
 Fig. 16 is a cylindrical map of a progressive lens, including a power scale relating the different shadings to local
 25 power;
 Fig. 17A is a simplified partly pictorial, partly block diagram illustration of apparatus for mapping an optical element, the apparatus constructed and operative in accordance with an alternative preferred embodiment of the present invention;
 Fig. 17B is a simplified block diagram of the apparatus of Fig. 17A;
 30 Fig. 18A is a simplified flow chart illustrating the operation of the optical element computation device 96 of Fig. 17B;
 Fig. 18B is a simplified pictorial diagram illustrating calibration spots produced during step 105 of Fig. 18A;
 Fig. 18C is a simplified pictorial diagram illustrating test spots produced during step 110 of Fig. 18A;
 Fig. 19 is a simplified flow chart illustrating the operation of step 112 of Fig. 18A;
 Figs. 20A and 20B are simplified pictorial illustrations of a result of step 140 of Fig. 19;
 35 Figs. 21 - 28 are simplified partly pictorial, partly block diagrams of eight different alternative configurations of the apparatus of Figs. 17A and 17B; and
 Fig. 29 is a simplified pictorial illustration of a cylinder map of a progressive lens, as produced by the apparatus of Figs. 17A and 17B.

40 [0022] Protection is sought only for the embodiment wherein the beam separator includes a liquid crystal device as defined in the independent claims.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

45 [0023] Referring now to the drawings, there is seen in Fig. 1 a microlens array 2 with lenses of a diameter d . When, as shown, the array 2 is impacted by a plane wave front, the lenses focus at f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n in the collective focal plane, with the pitch p of the foci f in this case being $p=d$. If a screen were mounted in the focal plane, the multiple images of the light source (not shown) producing that wave front would appear as in Fig. 2, with the pitch in both directions being p .
 [0024] When, as in Fig. 3, a positive lens $L+$ is interposed between the light source and the array 2, the above multiple
 50 image, produced on a screen 4, would appear as in Fig. 4, but with $p < d$.
 [0025] Conversely, with a negative lens $L-$ interposed between light source and array 2 as shown in Fig. 5, the image would appear as in Fig. 6, with $p > d$.
 [0026] As will be explained in detail further below, the image on screen 4 is recorded by a CCD camera and processed by a computer.
 55 [0027] Figs. 7-12 represent various special lenses and the specific multiple images produced by them with the system according to the invention.
 [0028] Fig. 7 represents a cylindrical lens having both an X and a Y axis. In the image of Fig. 8 as produced by array 2 (not shown), $X < Y$.

[0029] Fig. 9 shows a bifocal lens LBF having a focal length f_1 in its upper part and a focal length f_2 in its lower part. The image pattern produced by array 2 is shown in Fig. 10. It is seen that the density of the image points in the upper part of the lens is lower than that in the lower part.

[0030] The lens in Fig. 11 is a so-called progressive lens L_{prog} , the focal length of which varies across the surface thereof. This is clearly shown in the image pattern of Fig. 12, where the zones of different power and the transitions between them appear as image points of different densities.

[0031] Figs. 13-15 schematically represent the system.

[0032] In Fig. 13 there is seen a light source 6 which is preferably white and need not be coherent, although a HeNe laser (0.6 microns) can be used. A collimator lens 8 is provided to produce a beam having a plane wave front. Further seen is the microlens array 2. The array used in an experimental version of the system had the following specifications, which should be seen by way of example only:

Lens diameter	1 mm
Focal length of lenses	5-10 mm
Number of lenses	40 x 40 = 1600
Pitch of lenses	3 mm
Overall size of array	~120 mm

[0033] Also seen is a diffusive screen 4 on which appear the multiple images of light source 2 as modified by the lens to be tested LT. These images are recorded by a CCD camera 10, the output of which is transferred to computer 12, where the images are processed. The system of Fig. 13 clearly works by transmission.

[0034] A reflective system is schematically illustrated in Fig. 14. Such a system is intended for analyzing one surface only of a tested lens or when elements are to be tested.

[0035] There are seen in Fig. 14 a light source 6, a condensor lens 14, a beam splitter 16, the microlens array 2 and its screen 4, a CCD camera 10 and a computer 12. The beam from light source 6 is seen to fall onto beam splitter 16, onto array 2, producing the already-discussed image pattern. This pattern is recorded by CCD camera 10 and is analyzed in computer 12.

[0036] It will be noticed that, in this case, the beam is not parallel as in the previous embodiment, but converging. This is done in order to reduce the size of the lens array required, since the convex surface of the test element would turn a parallel beam into a diverging one, which would demand a much larger array 2.

[0037] The embodiment of Fig. 15 enables the progressive surface of a progressive lens to be tested in transmission rather than reflection, as was the case in Fig. 14.

[0038] There is seen in Fig. 15 a high-intensity light source 6 with a pin-hole aperture 18. The progressive lens L_{prog} is mounted at a distance r from the pin hole, where r is the radius of curvature of the spherical rear surface SSPH and will therefore pass the rear surface SSPH without being refracted, while a certain amount of energy will be reflected back into the pin hole 18, the main portion of the light will be refracted at the continuous front surface SCONT.

[0039] Image processing, carried out in the computer with the aid of an acquisition card, includes the following steps:

- 1) Assessment of all image-point locations at pixel accuracy.
- 2) Determination of the centroid of all point at sub-pixel accuracy (1/10-1/30 pixel) by modellization of the Gaussian spot and thus locating the energetic center.
- 3) Determination of the shift of the centroid by comparison with a reference image.
- 4) Converting the above shift into a topographical map of the lens.

[0040] For deeper analysis, that is, for analysis of the common aberrations, use is made of the polynomial of Zernike, whereby it is possible to determine the different optical aberrations in the order of the polynomial with the coefficients of each of the terms of the polynomial taken in a certain order, indicating the contribution to total lens imperfection of a given aberration.

[0041] The output of the system as working in transmission will be a topographical cylindrical map, as shown in Fig. 16. Unlike the present illustration, which is shaded to indicate coloring, the real map is colored and so is the associated power scale, which relates the different shadings to local power. It is also possible to calculate the maximum power, the axis and the prism of the tested lens.

[0042] Output of the system as working in reflection will be in the form of a physical topographical map, colored as the map of Fig. 16, but with the color differences indicating differences in height between the topographical outlines, or a table which will give on each x,y position on the lens the real height of the surface.

[0043] The system according to the invention is easily automated by the provision of a robot arm which takes the appropriate mount and, according to the test results, separates the serviceable from the faulty lenses.

[0044] Reference is now made to Figs. 17A and 17B. Fig. 17A is a simplified partly pictorial, partly block diagram illustration of apparatus for mapping an optical element, the apparatus constructed and operative in accordance with an alternative preferred embodiment of the present invention, while Fig. 17B is a simplified block diagram of the apparatus of Fig. 17A. The configuration of Fig. 17A comprises a light transmission configuration using a parallel beam.

[0045] Shown in Fig. 17A is an optical element 87, which is to be tested by the apparatus of Figs. 17A and 17B. The optical element 87 may be any of a number of different kinds of optical element, including an ophthalmic or non-ophthalmic optical element; a mold; or a lens of any of a number of types, including an ophthalmic lens, a hard or soft contact lens possibly immersed in a solution, or an intraocular lens. It is appreciated that many other types of optical element may also be used.

[0046] The apparatus of Figs. 17A and 17B includes a light source 90, which may, for example, generally be either a coherent or a non-coherent light source, including: a laser, such as a diode laser; a white light source, such as a halogen light; an IR (infrared) source; or a tungsten light source. Typically, a halogen light with a parabolic reflector may be used as, for example, item 7106-003 commercially available from Welch Allyn, 4619 Jordan Road, P.O. Box 187, Skansateles Falls, NY, 13153-0187, USA. In the case where the optical element 87 is an IR optical element, an IR source is preferred.

[0047] The apparatus of Figs. 17A and 17B also includes a beam separator 92, which may be, for example, one of the following:

an array of microlenses, such as, for example, an array of 100 x 100 microlenses, typically equally spaced, each of 1.0 mm diameter and focal length of 50 mm, commercially available from Visionix, Ltd., 21 Vaad Haleumi, Jerusalem, Israel;

a hole plate, such as, for example, a plate containing an array of 40 x 40 holes, typically equally spaced, each of 300 micron diameter, interhole distance 2.5 mm, commercially available from Visionix, Ltd., 21 Vaad Haleumi, Jerusalem, Israel; or

an LCD (liquid crystal device).

[0048] Generally, an array of microlenses is preferred, although a hole plate may typically be used because an array of microlenses is often more expensive.

[0049] In any case, one of the plurality of microelements of the beam separator 92 as, for example, one of the microlenses or one of the holes, is replaced with a non-light-transmitting material, so that no beam of light is produced by the replaced microlens or hole. Preferably, the replaced microlens or hole is located generally in the center of the array of microlenses or holes and is referred to hereinbelow as a "missing element".

[0050] The apparatus of Figs. 17A and 17B also includes an optical sensing device 94. Typically, the optical sensing device comprises a screen 88, shown in Fig. 17A, upon which light beams may be projected. The screen 88 is typically an optical matte screen. The optical sensing device 94 typically also comprises a camera 89, shown in Fig. 17A, such as, for example:

a CCD camera such as a Burle model TC65 CCD camera, commercially available from Maagal Sagour Ltd., 11 Hosheha St., Bnei Berak 51364, Israel;

an IR camera, preferred when an IR light source is used; photographic film; or

a PSD (position sensor detector), such as a UTD model PDH.4 available from UTD sensors, Inc., 12525 Chadron Ave., Hawthorne, CA 90250, USA.

[0051] Generally, a CCD camera is preferred.

[0052] The apparatus of Figs. 17A and 17B also includes an optical element computation device 96 which may be, for example, an appropriately programmed IBM compatible personal computer equipped with a 66 MHz 80486 processor and an image processing card with acquisition ability, such as a frame grabber card with analog to digital termination, available commercially from CEFAR Ltd., Har Hotsvim, Phasecom Building, Jerusalem, Israel.

[0053] In the case where photographic film is used in the optical sensing device 94, within the camera 89, the film must be examined after developing and data derived from the film must be manually or automatically input to the optical element computation device 96. In this case, acquisition capability is not required in the image processing card referred to above. In the case of photographic film, the sensed data is termed analog data, while in the case of the other examples of a camera given above, the sensed data is termed digital data.

[0054] Reference is now made to Fig. 18A which is a simplified flow chart illustrating the operation of the optical element computation device 96 of Figs. 17A and 17B. The method of Fig. 18A includes a preferred set of steps to be taken by the user in order to operate the apparatus of Figs. 17A and 17B. The method of Fig. 18A preferably includes the following steps:

STEP 100: Parameters. The user may optionally input control parameters that govern the method of Fig. 18A. Parameters may, for example, comprise the following:

integration number, indicating how many times an image of the optical element 87 is to be acquired;
optical element parameters, typically comprising:

sagittal;
diameter; and

refractive index and, in the case where the optical element 87 is submerged in a liquid, the refractive index of the liquid;

structural parameters of the apparatus, typically comprising:

maximum number of microelements, such as microlenses or holes, along an axis of the beam separator 92;
distance between microelements, such as microlenses or holes, along an axis of the beam separator 92;
distance between the beam separator 92 and the screen 88 typically found in optical sensing device 94;
and
distance between the optical element 87 and the beam separator 92;

erosion parameters, described in detail below with reference to Fig. 19, including window size along both axes, and erosion threshold;
output parameters, typically comprising:

type of map, such as sphere, cylinder, astigmatism, tilt, curvature radius, or axis;
step of the measurement;
scaling of output.

STEP 105: Calibration. A measurement is taken without including the optical element 87 in the apparatus.

[0055] Reference is now additionally made to Fig. 18B, which is a simplified pictorial diagram illustrating calibration spots produced during step 105 of Fig. 18A. Fig. 18B comprises a plurality of calibration spots 97. A relatively small number of calibration spots 97 is shown in Fig. 18B for illustrative purposes. Generally, the number of test spots is equal to the number of microelements in the beam separator 92. For example, in the case where the beam separator 92 comprises a 100 x 100 microlens, there are generally 10,000 calibration spots 97.

[0056] The location of the calibration spots 97 is recorded in memory as the basis for future measurement. Typically, the distance between any two adjacent calibration spots 97 in the horizontal direction is substantially the same as the distance between any other two adjacent calibration spots 97 in the horizontal direction, and similarly in the vertical direction. This is because, as explained above with reference to Figs. 17A and 17B, the distance between elements of the beam separator 92 is typically substantially equal. Nevertheless, small variations tend to make the spacing of the calibration spots 97 slightly uneven. Therefore, the horizontal and vertical positions of each of the calibration spots 97 is stored for use in future computations.

[0057] Each of the calibration spots 97 is assigned a unique label, as, for example, an integer. The label of each of the test spots 97 is stored for future computation.

[0058] STEP 110: Measurement. The test optical element is inserted as shown in Fig. 17A. Reference is now additionally made to Fig. 18C, which is a simplified pictorial diagram illustrating test spots produced during step 110 of Fig. 18A. Fig. 18C comprises a plurality of test spots 98. It will be seen that the test spots 98 are in different positions than the corresponding calibration spots 97 of Fig. 18B. The positions are different because the optical element 87 inserted in the light paths has refracted or reflected the light beams so that the light beams impinge on different locations, thus causing the test spots 98 to be in different positions than the calibration spots 97.

[0059] Measurement comprises the following steps 112 and 114:

STEP 112: Image processing: the positions of the test spots 98 are acquired and processed to determine which of the calibration spots 97 is associated with each of the test spots 98, and thereby to determine which microelement of the beam separator 92 is associated with each of the calibration spots 97 and each of the test spots 98. Step 112 is described in more detail below with reference to Fig. 19.

STEP 114: The optical characteristics of the test optical element are computed, preferably by using the following equations. In equations 1 - 18b, discussed below, the following symbols are used:

i, j : identifying numbers of calibration spots 97 and test spots 98;
 x, y : Cartesian positions of calibration spots 97;
 x', y' : Cartesian positions of test spots 98;
 X, Y : Cartesian distances between two spots;
 D : distance between the beam separator 92 and the screen.

- [0060] Equations 1 and 2, found below, define computation of X and Y .
 [0061] Equations 3a and 3b, found below, define computation of the displacement between one of the calibration spots 97 and the corresponding test spot 98.
 [0062] Equations 4a and 4b, found below, define computation of the displacement of a given pair of associated spots 97 and 98 and the displacement of another pair of associated spots 97 and 98, thus defining a measure of density.
 [0063] Equations 5 - 8, found below, define values used in subsequent equations, where the subscripts "12" and "13" define the "i,j-values" of the respective displacements.
 [0064] Equation 9a, found below, defines the local maximum power of the optical element.
 [0065] Equation 9b, found below, defines the local minimum power of the optical element.
 [0066] Equation 9c, found below, defines the local cylindrical surface of the optical element.
 [0067] Equation 9d, found below, defines the local average power of the optical element.
 [0068] Equations 10a - 10d, found below, define values used in equation 11.
 [0069] Equation 11, found below, defines the local axis of the optical element.
 [0070] Equations 12a and 12b are described below, with reference to Fig. 19.
 [0071] The above computations, explained with reference to equations 1 - 11, comprise a computation of a numerical topography of the power of the lens. Alternatively, an aberration polynomial such as the Zernike polynomial, which is well known in the art and may be preferred by opticians, may be used. Alternatively, another appropriate aberration polynomial may be used.
 [0072] Equation 13a, found below, defines the Zernike polynomial. A best fit between the numerical data and the Zernike polynomial is computed, using methods well known in the art. A typical method to fit the data to the Zernike polynomial is the Gaussian method, described in Yogeh Jalurig, Computer Methods for Engineering, Ally and Bacon, Inc., page 272.
 [0073] Alternatively or additionally, it is possible to compute a radius of curvature map for either of the two surfaces of the lens, or of both surfaces separately. The configurations of Figs. 22 and 23, referred to below, are particularly suitable for this purpose. Two measurements are performed, with two different positions of the screen 88. For each position, computations similar to those described above with reference to Equations 1 - 11 are performed.
 [0074] First, the computations of Equations 1 - 3, described above, are performed for each position of the screen 88. Then, for each position of the screen 88, the following computations are performed.
 [0075] For each of the test spots 98 on the screen 88 in the first position, the corresponding test spot on the screen 88 in the second position is identified, as explained above in step 112 and below with reference to Fig. 19, by relating to the corresponding calibration positions. The two corresponding test spots 98 define a straight line which intersects with the optical element 87 on its inner surface, where the inner surface is defined as the surface closest to the screen 88.
 [0076] Generally, the radius of curvature of one of the two surfaces of the optical element 87 is given in order to simplify computation of the characteristics of the other surface. This simplifying assumption is made because, typically, progressive lenses are spherocylindrical on one surface, the surface of given curvature, and are of complex shape on the other surface, the surface to be measured. Alternatively, the optical element 87 may have only one optical surface as, for example, in the case of a mirror. By way of example, the present discussion will assume that the radius of curvature of the inner surface is already known. It is appreciated that similar computations may be performed if the radius of curvature of the outer surface is already known.
 [0077] Equation 13b, found below, expresses Snell's Law. Equation 13b is used to find the perpendicular to the outer surface of the optical element 87 which intersects the position of the associated microelement of the beam separator 92, as is known in the art.
 [0078] Equations 14a - 14e, found below, are used to compute the direction and the derivative of the perpendicular in three dimensions.
 [0079] Equations 15a - 16b, found below, define values used in Equations 17 - 18b.
 [0080] Equation 17, found below, defines the minimum and maximum local power, k_1 and k_2 , respectively.
 [0081] Equation 18a, found below, defines the average power.
 [0082] Equation 18b, found below, defines the cylinder power.
 [0083] It is appreciated that other lens characteristics, such as axis, tilt, and coma, may also be computed with appropriate equations.
 [0084] Equations 1 - 18b, referred to above, are as follows:

EP 0 765 468 B1

$$(1) \quad X_{ij} = x_i - x_j$$

5

$$(2) \quad Y_{ij} = y_i - y_j$$

$$(3a) \quad \Delta x_i = x_i - x_j$$

10

$$(3b) \quad \Delta y_i = y_i - y_j$$

$$(4a) \quad \Delta X_{ij} = \Delta x_i - \Delta x_j$$

15

$$(4b) \quad \Delta Y_{ij} = \Delta y_i - \Delta y_j$$

20

$$(5) \quad A = \Delta X_{12} \cdot \Delta Y_{13} - \Delta X_{13} \cdot \Delta Y_{12}$$

$$(6) \quad B = X_{12} \cdot \Delta Y_{13} + Y_{13} \cdot \Delta X_{12} - Y_{12} \cdot \Delta X_{13} - X_{13} \cdot \Delta Y_{12}$$

25

$$(7) \quad C = X_{12} \cdot Y_{13} - X_{13} \cdot Y_{12}$$

30

$$(8) \quad d = B^2 - 4AC$$

$$(9a) \quad F_{\max} = Z = \max(((B + d)/2A).D; \\ ((B - d)/2A).D)$$

35

$$(9b) \quad F_{\min} = \min(((B + d)/2A).D; \\ ((B - d)/2A).D)$$

40

$$(9c) \quad Cyl = F_{\max} - F_{\min}$$

45

$$(9d) \quad Sph(av) = (F_{\max} + F_{\min})/2$$

$$(10a) \quad x_{mi} = x_i + \Delta x_i \cdot Z/D$$

50

$$(10b) \quad y_{mi} = y_i + \Delta y_i \cdot Z/D$$

$$(10c) \quad \alpha = x_{m2} - x_{m1}$$

55

$$(10d) \quad \beta = y_{m2} - y_{m1}$$

$$(11) \quad \text{Axe}(\text{rad}) = \text{Arctg}(\beta/\alpha)$$

$$(12a) \quad X = \left(\sum_{i,j} i \cdot I(i,j) \right) / \left(\sum_{i,j} I(i,j) \right)$$

$$(12b) \quad Y = \left(\sum_{i,j} j \cdot I(i,j) \right) / \left(\sum_{i,j} I(i,j) \right)$$

$$(13a) \quad \Phi(\rho, \theta) = \sum_n \sum_m a_{mn} R_n^m(\rho) \cos m\theta$$

$$(13b) \quad n_1 \sin(\theta_1) = n_2 \sin(\theta_2)$$

$$(14a) \quad f_x = \frac{\partial z}{\partial x}$$

$$(14b) \quad f_y = \frac{\partial z}{\partial y}$$

$$(14c) \quad f_{xx} = \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial x^2}$$

$$(14d) \quad f_{yy} = \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial y^2}$$

$$(14e) \quad f_{xy} = \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial x \partial y}$$

$$(15a) \quad E = 1 + f_x^2$$

$$(15b) \quad F = f_x \cdot f_y$$

$$(15c) \quad G = 1 + f_y^2$$

$$(16A) \quad N = (1 + f_x^2 + f_y^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$(16b) \quad U = E \cdot f_{yy} + G \cdot f_{xx} - 2f_x \cdot f_y \cdot f_{xy}$$

$$(17) \quad k_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2N^3} \left\{ U \pm \left[U^2 - 4N^2 \cdot (f_{xx}f_{yy} - f_{xy}^2) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}$$

$$(18a) \quad P = \frac{(n-1)}{2} (k_1 + k_2)$$

$$(18b) \quad A = (n-1)(k_1 - k_2)$$

[0085] STEP 115: Topography. A report of the characteristics of the optical element 87, such as a lens, is computed and output to the user. The report may be any of a number of reports representing the characteristics of the lens as, for example: a map of the power of the lens; a map of the cylinder of the lens; a map of the axis of the lens; a 3-dimensional wire frame map of the lens; a cross-section of the lens in any direction; a map of the radius of curvature of the lens; a map of differences between the test optical element and a reference optical element, in which case characteristics of a previously measured reference optical element are stored for use in computing the map of differences; an indication of the quality of the lens; an indication of acceptance or rejection of the lens according to predefined criteria chosen by the user.

[0086] Reference is now made to Fig. 19 which is a simplified flow chart illustrating the operation of step 112 of Fig. 18A. The method of Fig. 19 preferably includes the following steps:

STEP 120: Acquisition. The gray levels of each pixel in the image are captured by the optical sensing device 94 and stored in memory by the optical element computation device 96. Preferably, a two-dimensional array of gray scale values is stored. The size of each pixel determines the precision of the measurement. An array of 512 x 512 pixels is preferred. Preferably, 256 gray levels are used, but alternatively another number of levels may be used.

STEP 130: Erosion. The two dimensional array of gray scale values stored in step 120 is examined in order to find the maximum brightness value for each test spot 98.

[0087] The array of pixels is examined by passing a two-dimensional window over the array and examining the pixels within the two-dimensional window. Preferably, the size of the window is large compared to the size of an individual spot and small compared to the distance between spots. Generally, the size of an individual spot and the distance between spots are known in advance as parameters of the system, particularly of the beam separator 92, as determined in step 105, described above. If necessary, the size of the window may be varied in step 100, described above.

[0088] The window passes over the array, moving one pixel at a time, so that the window visits each possible location in the array. At each location, a function of the gray scale values of all of the pixels within the window is computed. Preferably, the function is the local maximum over a threshold, typically the erosion threshold supplied in step 100 of Fig. 18A. The computed function value represents the local maximum of brightness.

[0089] As the window passes over the array, the local maxima of all window locations which represent maxima of brightness according to the computed function are stored in memory by computation device 96.

[0090] STEP 140: Grid computation.

[0091] Reference is now additionally made to Figs. 20A and 20B, which are simplified pictorial illustrations of a result of step 140 of Fig. 19. Fig. 20A shows the results as applied to an array of calibration spots 97, and Fig. 20B shows the result as applied to an array of test spots 98. The illustration of Fig. 20B shows an example of a grid generated according to the method of step 140, connecting all of the test spots 98.

[0092] In order to compute the optical characteristics of the test optical element, it is necessary to determine, for each test spot 98, which is the corresponding calibration spot 97, that is, the calibration spot 97 produced by the same light beam which produces the individual test spot 98.

[0093] The array of locations of maximum brightness computed in step 130 is examined, beginning at the center of the array, thereof. A grid connecting the locations of maximum brightness is created as follows:

The location of the missing microelement is first determined. For the sake of computation, it is assumed that any group of four calibration spots 97 or four test spots 98, arranged roughly in the shape of a rectangle, are roughly equally spaced. The calibration spots 97 and the test spots 98 are examined to find a place where there are two adjacent calibration spots 97 or test spots 98 at significantly greater distance than the generally equal distance, as for example 25% farther. The missing spot is taken to be between the spots which are at significantly greater

distance, as shown for example in Figs. 20A and 20B. Preferably, the missing spot is located by linear interpolation between the locations of the four closest spots 97 or 98.

[0094] The correspondence between the calibration spots 97 and the test spots 98 is then identified as follows:

5 Begin at the location of the missing microelement and associate the location of the missing spot of the calibration spots 97 with the location of the missing spot of the test spots 98;
 For each location of maximum brightness of the test spots 98, the nearest eight locations of maximum brightness of the test spots 98 are identified;
 10 Similarly, for each location of maximum brightness of the calibration spots 97, the nearest locations of maximum brightness of the calibration spots 97 are identified;
 The eight calibration spots 97 and the geometrically corresponding eight test spot 98 found in the previous two steps are identified as associated spots, and each of the eight test spots 98 is assigned a label corresponding to the label of the associated calibration spot 97; and
 15 an indication is stored in memory of the computing device 96, representing lines connecting, horizontally and vertically, the current location and the eight nearest locations, of the calibration and test spots, as seen in Figs. 20A and 20B.

[0095] The test spots 98 are thus identified relative to the calibration spots 97 by information other than the location of an individual test spot 98.

[0096] One of the nearest locations becomes the new current location so that the next nearest location can be identified, excluding any locations already identified. Any appropriate method may be used to traverse the locations in choosing the new current location, so that all locations are eventually traversed. Preferably, a method which optimizes search time is used. One example of a preferable method is a follows:

25 begin in the center of the image and choose a starting test spot 98 and process the chosen spot;
 identify the four nearest neighbors of the test spot 98 in the horizontal and vertical directions;
 choose one of the four nearest neighbors as the next spot and process the new chosen spot;
 repeat the identify and choose steps.

30

[0097] It is appreciated that many other search methods may be used.

[0098] It will be appreciated that the above method associates each of the test spots 98 with the corresponding calibration spot 97 defines a grid connecting all of the test spots 98. Also, since the location of the missing microelement in the beam separator 92 corresponds to the missing spots of the calibration spots 97 and the test spots 98, the above method also associates each of the test spots 98 and each of the calibration spots 97 with the corresponding microelement in the beam separator 92 which produced said spots.

[0099] In case not all of the test spots are connected, parameters may be altered as described above in step 100 and the entire process may be performed again.

[0100] STEP 150: Centers computation. The center of brightness for each of the test spots 98 is computed with subpixel precision. The center of brightness may not be in the same location as the maximum computed above in step 130, typically due to quantization, noise, or other effects within the optical sensing device 94, with the maximum brightness being located off-center.

[0101] Preferably, the center of brightness is computed as follows. For center of brightness computation, a window centered around each brightness maximum for each of the test spots 98 is defined. The center of brightness window is similar to that described in step 130 but preferably of a size equal to the distance between two neighboring brightness maxima as computed in step 130. Within the window, the center of brightness coordinate is computed with subpixel precision using Equations 12a and 12b, referred to above, where the function $I(i,j)$ is the gray value of the spots i,j . The center of gravity is taken as the position of the test spot 98.

[0102] Alternatively, in the case of a non-symmetrical distribution of light, a symmetrical polynomial such as a Bessel function or a Gaussian function, or a non-symmetrical function such as a Ksi distribution, may be chosen, and the best fit of the data to the chosen function may be computed. The position of spot 98 is defined as the $x=0$ and $y=0$ coordinate positions of the function. While this method may produce a precise result, the computations tend to be time consuming.

[0103] Reference is now made to Figs. 21 - 28, which are simplified partly pictorial, partly block diagrams of eight different alternative configurations of the apparatus of Figs. 17A and 17B.

55 **[0104]** Fig. 21 shows a light transmission configuration using a spherical beam of light. The spherical beam may be either convergent or divergent. Preferably, the radius of curvature of the spherical beam is approximately the same as the radius of curvature of one of the two surfaces of the optical element 87. This is preferable because a beam with said radius of curvature will be generally unaffected by passing through the surface of the optical element 87 with a

corresponding radius of curvature. A beam with such a radius of curvature is thus preferable for measuring only one surface of the optical element 87, namely the surface with a different radius of curvature.

[0105] Alternatively, preferable results in measuring an optical element 87 with high divergence may be obtained using a convergent beam, while preferable results in measuring an optical element 87 with high convergence may be obtained using a divergent beam.

[0106] Fig. 22 shows a light transmission configuration using a parallel beam. The configuration of Fig. 22 comprises two screens 88, with the beam separator 92 being positioned before the optical element 87.

[0107] Fig. 23 shows a configuration similar to that of Fig. 22, but shows a light transmission configuration using a spherical beam.

[0108] Fig. 24 shows a light reflection configuration using a parallel beam.

[0109] Fig. 25 shows a light reflection configuration using a spherical beam.

[0110] Fig. 26 shows a light reflection configuration using a parallel beam. The configuration of Fig. 26 comprises two screens 88.

[0111] Fig. 27 shows a light reflection configuration using a spherical beam. The configuration of Fig. 27 comprises two screens 88.

[0112] Fig. 28 shows a light reflection configuration with a small light beam moving on the lens. The configuration of Fig. 28 includes a beam splitter 160, positioned between the light source 90 and the optical element 87. The beam splitter 160 is operative to split the light beam and direct the portion of the light beam which reflected from the optical element 87 toward the camera 89. This is desirable because the light path to and from the optical element 87 is thus kept close to the optical axis of the optical element 87, so that the light follows a common optical path.

[0113] It is appreciated that the configurations of Figs. 24 - 27 may similarly incorporate a beam splitter.

[0114] Reference is now made to Fig. 29, which is a simplified pictorial illustration of a cylinder map of a progressive lens, as produced by the apparatus of Figs. 17A and 17B. The cylinder map of Fig. 29 comprises cylinder isopower lines 165, as are well known in the ophthalmic art. It is appreciated that the apparatus of Figs. 17A and 17B, and of Figs. 21 - 28, is capable of producing a wide variety of different outputs indicating measurements performed on the optical element 87. Fig. 29 is presented by way of example only.

[0115] It is appreciated that there are a number of alternative configurations of the apparatus of the present invention. The configurations described above are described by way of example only, and are not intended to be limiting.

[0116] It is appreciated that the software components of the present invention may, if desired, be implemented in ROM (read-only memory) form. The software components may, generally, be implemented in hardware, if desired, using conventional techniques.

[0117] It is appreciated that various features of the invention which are, for clarity, described in the contexts of separate embodiments may also be provided in combination in a single embodiment. Conversely, various features of the invention which are, for brevity, described in the context of a single embodiment may also be provided separately or in any suitable subcombination.

[0118] It will be appreciated by persons skilled in the art that the present invention is not limited to what has been particularly shown and described hereinabove. Rather, the scope of the present invention is defined only by the claims that follow:

Claims

1. Apparatus for mapping an optical element, the apparatus comprising:

a light source (90) arranged to transmit a light beam towards an optical element;
a beam separator (92) including a plurality of beam separating elements (2) operative to separate the light beam into a corresponding plurality of light beam portions;
an optical sensing device (94) operative to generate a light spot map including a plurality of light spots corresponding to said plurality of beam separating elements (2); and
an optical element characteristic computation device (96) operative to derive at least one characteristic of an optical element from said light spot map and including apparatus for identifying the beam separating element (2) corresponding to an individual spot based at least partly on information other than the location of the spot, **characterised in that** said beam separator includes a liquid crystal device (LCD).

2. Apparatus according to claim 1 wherein said light spot map comprises a digital light spot map.

3. Apparatus according to claim 1 wherein said light spot map comprises an analog light spot map.

4. Apparatus according to any of the preceding claims wherein said optical sensing device (94) comprises a CCD camera, an IR camera, a photographic film, or a position sensor detector.
5. Apparatus according to any of the preceding claims wherein the light source (90) comprises a point source, a coherent light source, a laser source or a non-coherent light source.
6. Apparatus according to claim 5 wherein said light source (90) is a non-coherent light source comprising one of the following:
 - a tungsten light source; and
 - a halogen light source.
7. Apparatus according to any of the preceding claims wherein said apparatus for identifying also employs information regarding the location of the spot to identify a beam separating element corresponding to an individual spot.
8. Apparatus according to any of the preceding claims wherein said light source comprises a parallel light source (90) operative to transmit parallel light toward the optical element.
9. Apparatus according to any of the preceding claims wherein said light source (90) comprises a convergent light source operative to transmit converging light toward the optical element.
10. Apparatus according to any of the preceding claims wherein said light source (90) comprises a divergent light source operative to transmit diverging light toward the optical element.
11. Apparatus according to any of the preceding claims wherein said computation device (96) is operative to derive at least one local characteristic of the optical element.
12. A method for mapping an ophthalmic element, the method comprising:
 - illuminating an ophthalmic element;
 - providing a beam separator (92) including a plurality of beam separating elements (2) operative to separate a light beam into a corresponding plurality of light beam portions;
 - generating a digital light spot map including a plurality of light spots corresponding to said plurality of beam separating elements (2); and
 - deriving at least one ophthalmic element characteristic;

characterised in that said beam separator includes a Liquid Crystal Device.
13. A method according to claim 12 wherein said deriving step comprises deriving at least one local ophthalmic element characteristic.
14. A method according to claim 12 or claim 13 wherein said ophthalmic element comprises an ophthalmic lens, an ophthalmic mold, a contact lens, a hard contact lens or a soft contact lens.
15. A method according to claim 14 wherein said soft contact lens is immersed in a solution.
16. A method according to claim 14 wherein said ophthalmic lens comprises an intraocular lens.

50 Patentansprüche

1. Vorrichtung zum topometrischen Erfassen eines optischen Elements, wobei die Vorrichtung folgendes umfaßt:
 - eine Lichtquelle (90), angeordnet, um einen Lichtstrahl zu einem optischen Element zu übermitteln,
 - einen Strahlteiler (92), der eine Vielzahl von Strahlteilelementen (2) einschließt, die wirksam sind, um den Lichtstrahl in eine entsprechende Vielzahl von Lichtstrahl-Anteilen zu teilen,

einen optischen Fühler (94), der wirksam ist, um eine Lichtpunktkarte zu erzeugen, die eine Vielzahl von Lichtpunkten einschließt, die der Vielzahl von Strahlteilelementen (2) entspricht, und

5 eine Berechnungsvorrichtung (96) für die Charakteristik optischer Elemente, die wirksam ist, um aus der Lichtpunktkarte wenigstens eine Charakteristik eines optischen Elements abzuleiten, und die eine Vorrichtung einschließt, um das einem Einzelpunkt entsprechende Strahlteilelement (2) wenigstens teilweise auf der Grundlage von anderen Informationen als dem Ort des Punkts zu identifizieren, **dadurch gekennzeichnet, daß** der Strahlteiler eine Flüssigkeitskristall-Vorrichtung (LCD) einschließt.

10 2. Vorrichtung nach Anspruch 1, bei der die Lichtpunktkarte eine digitale Lichtpunktkarte umfaßt.

3. Vorrichtung nach Anspruch 1, bei der die Lichtpunktkarte eine analoge Lichtpunktkarte umfaßt.

15 4. Vorrichtung nach einem der vorhergehenden Ansprüche, bei welcher der optische Fühler (94) eine CCD-Kamera, eine Infrarot-Kamera, einen fotografischen Film oder einen Stellungsmeßfühler-Detektor umfaßt.

5. Vorrichtung nach einem der vorhergehenden Ansprüche, bei der die Lichtquelle (90) eine Punktquelle, eine Quelle kohärenten Lichts, eine Laserquelle oder eine Quelle nicht-kohärenten Lichts umfaßt.

20 6. Vorrichtung nach Anspruch 5, bei der die Lichtquelle (90) eine Quelle nicht-kohärenten Lichts ist, die eins von folgendem umfaßt:

eine Wolfram-Lichtquelle, und

25 eine Halogen-Lichtquelle.

7. Vorrichtung nach einem der vorhergehenden Ansprüche, bei der die Vorrichtung zum Identifizieren außerdem Informationen bezüglich des Orts des Punkts verwendet, um ein einem Einzelpunkt entsprechendes Strahlteilelement zu identifizieren.

30 8. Vorrichtung nach einem der vorhergehenden Ansprüche, bei der die Lichtquelle eine parallele Lichtquelle (90) einschließt, die wirksam ist, um paralleles Licht zu dem optischen Element zu übermitteln.

35 9. Vorrichtung nach einem der vorhergehenden Ansprüche, bei der die Lichtquelle (90) eine Quelle konvergenten Lichts umfaßt, die wirksam ist, um konvergierendes Licht zu dem optischen Element zu übermitteln.

10. Vorrichtung nach einem der vorhergehenden Ansprüche, bei der die Lichtquelle (90) eine Quelle divergenten Lichts umfaßt, die wirksam ist, um divergierendes Licht zu dem optischen Element zu übermitteln.

40 11. Vorrichtung nach einem der vorhergehenden Ansprüche, bei der die Berechnungsvorrichtung (96) wirksam ist, um wenigstens eine lokale Charakteristik des optischen Elements abzuleiten.

12. Verfahren zum topometrischen Erfassen eines ophthalmischen Elements, wobei das Verfahren folgendes umfaßt:

45 Anstrahlen eines ophthalmischen Elements,

Bereitstellen eines Strahlteilers (92), der eine Vielzahl von Strahlteilelementen (2) einschließt, die wirksam sind, um einen Lichtstrahl in eine entsprechende Vielzahl von Lichtstrahl-Anteilen zu teilen,

50 Erzeugen einer digitalen Lichtpunktkarte, die eine Vielzahl von Lichtpunkten einschließt, die der Vielzahl von Strahlteilelementen (2) entspricht, und

Ableiten wenigstens einer Charakteristik des ophthalmischen Elements,

55 **dadurch gekennzeichnet, daß** der Strahlteiler eine Flüssigkeitskristall-Vorrichtung einschließt.

13. Verfahren nach Anspruch 12, bei dem der Ableitungsschritt das Ableiten wenigstens einer lokalen Charakteristik des ophthalmischen Elements umfaßt.

14. Verfahren nach Anspruch 12 oder 13, bei dem das ophthalmische Element eine ophthalmische Linse, eine ophthalmische Form, eine Kontaktlinse, eine harte Kontaktlinse oder eine weiche Kontaktlinse umfaßt.
15. Verfahren nach Anspruch 14, bei dem die weiche Kontaktlinse in eine Lösung eingetaucht wird.
16. Verfahren nach Anspruch 14, bei dem die ophthalmische Linse eine Intraokularlinse umfaßt.

Revendications

1. Dispositif de mappage d'un élément optique, comprenant:

une source de lumière (90) destinée à transmettre un faisceau lumineux vers un élément optique ;

un séparateur de faisceau (92), englobant plusieurs éléments séparateurs du faisceau (2) destinés à séparer le faisceau lumineux en plusieurs parties correspondantes de faisceau lumineux ;

un élément de détection optique (94) établissant une carte de points lumineux englobant plusieurs points lumineux correspondant auxdits plusieurs éléments séparateurs du faisceau (2) ; et

un dispositif de calcul des caractéristiques d'un élément optique (96) qui sert à dériver au moins une caractéristique d'un élément optique de ladite carte de points lumineux et englobant un dispositif pour identifier l'élément séparateur du faisceau (2) correspondant à un point individuel, sur la base d'informations au moins en partie différentes de l'emplacement du point, caractérisé en ce que ledit séparateur de faisceau englobe un dispositif à cristaux liquides (LCD).

2. Dispositif selon la revendication 1, dans lequel ladite carte de points lumineux est constituée par une carte de points lumineux numérique.

3. Dispositif selon la revendication 1, dans lequel ladite carte de points lumineux est constituée par une carte de points lumineux analogique.

4. Dispositif selon l'une quelconque des revendications précédentes, dans lequel ledit dispositif de détection optique (94) comprend une caméra CCD, une caméra IR, un film photographique ou un détecteur capteur de la position.

5. Dispositif selon l'une quelconque des revendications précédentes, dans lequel la source de lumière (90) est constituée par une source ponctuelle, une source de lumière cohérente, une source laser ou une source de lumière non cohérente.

6. Dispositif selon la revendication 5, dans lequel ladite source de lumière (90) est une source de lumière non cohérente, constituée par une des sources ci-dessous :

une source de lumière au tungstène ; et

une source de lumière à halogène.

7. Dispositif selon l'une quelconque des revendications précédentes, dans lequel ledit dispositif d'identification utilise aussi des informations concernant l'emplacement du point pour identifier un élément séparateur du faisceau correspondant à un point individuel.

8. Dispositif selon l'une quelconque des revendications précédentes, dans lequel ladite source de lumière est constituée par une source de lumière parallèle (90) servant à transmettre la lumière parallèle vers l'élément optique.

9. Dispositif selon l'une quelconque des revendications précédentes, dans lequel ladite source de lumière (90) est constituée par une source de lumière convergente servant à transmettre la lumière convergente vers l'élément optique.

10. Dispositif selon l'une quelconque des revendications précédentes, dans lequel ladite source de lumière (90) est

constituée par une source de lumière divergente servant à transmettre la lumière divergente vers l'élément optique.

11. Dispositif selon l'une quelconque des revendications précédentes, dans lequel ledit dispositif de calcul (96) sert à dériver au moins une caractéristique locale de l'élément optique.

5

12. Procédé de mappage d'un élément ophtalmique, le procédé comprenant les étapes ci-dessous :

éclairement d'un élément ophtalmique ;

10

fourniture d'un séparateur de faisceau (92), englobant plusieurs éléments séparateurs de faisceau (2) destinés à séparer un faisceau lumineux en plusieurs parties correspondantes de faisceau lumineux ;

établissement d'une carte de points lumineux numérique englobant plusieurs points lumineux correspondant auxdits plusieurs éléments de séparation du faisceau (2) ; et

dérivation d'au moins une caractéristique de l'élément ophtalmique ;

15

caractérisé en ce que ledit séparateur du faisceau englobe un dispositif à cristaux liquides.

13. Procédé selon la revendication 12, dans lequel ladite étape de dérivation comprend la dérivation d'au moins une caractéristique locale de l'élément ophtalmique.

20

14. Procédé selon les revendications 12 ou 13, dans lequel ledit élément ophtalmique est constitué par une lentille ophtalmique, un moule ophtalmique, une lentille de contact, une lentille de contact dure ou une lentille de contact souple.

25

15. Procédé selon la revendication 14, dans lequel ladite lentille de contact souple est immergée dans une solution.

16. Procédé selon la revendication 14, dans lequel ladite lentille ophtalmique est constituée par une lentille intraoculaire.

30

35

40

45

50

55

FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5





FIG. 6






FIG. 7




FIG. 8




FIG. 9




FIG. 10




FIG. 11




FIG. 12

FIG. 13




FIG. 15





FIG. 14






FIG. 17B

FIG. 18A





FIG. 19






FIG. 17A




FIG. 21




FIG. 22




FIG. 23




FIG. 18B




FIG. 18C

FIG. 20A





FIG. 20B






FIG. 24




FIG. 25




FIG. 26




FIG. 27

FIG. 28




FIG. 29








FIG. 16



APPARATUS FOR MAPPING OPTICAL ELEMENTS

Patent number: EP0765468
Publication date: 1997-04-02
Inventor: ABITBOL MARC (IL); BLUM ARIEL (IL); HALIMI ALAIN (IL); MEIMOUN ELI (IL)
Applicant: VISIONIX LTD (IL); ABITBOL MARC (IL)
Classification:
- **international:** G01M11/02
- **european:** G01B11/255; G01M11/00C; G01M11/02C2; G01M11/02D
Application number: EP19950924219 19950613
Priority number(s): WO1995EP02283 19950613; IL19940110016 19940614; IL19950113172 19950328

Also published as:


 WO9534800 (A1)
 US5825476 (A1)
 EP0765468 (B1)

[Report a data error here](#)

Abstract not available for EP0765468

Abstract of corresponding document: **US5825476**

PCT No. PCT/EP95/02283 Sec. 371 Date Jan. 6, 1997 Sec. 102(e) Date Jan. 6, 1997 PCT Filed Jun. 13, 1995 PCT Pub. No. WO95/34800 PCT Pub. Date Dec. 21, 1995 An apparatus for mapping an optical element, the apparatus including a light source arranged to transmit a light beam toward the optical element, a beam separator including a plurality of beam separating elements, less than all of which are identical, which are operative to separate the light beam into a corresponding plurality of light beam portions including at least first and second light beam portions which differ from one another, an optical sensing device operative to generate a light spot map including a plurality of light spots corresponding to the plurality of beam separating elements and an optical element characteristic computation device operative to derive at least one characteristic of the optical element from the light spot map and including apparatus for identifying the beam separating element corresponding to an individual spot based at least partly on differences between the at least first and second light beam portions.



Data supplied from the **esp@cenet** database - Worldwide